**NUST School of Electrical Engineering & Computer Science**

**Research Paper Evaluation**

**[Form RPE07-R5.03, Jan 2019]**

**Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Designation / Regn. No: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

Part A. Section 1 to 3 to be filled by the Applicant

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Paper Title |  |
| 2 | Conference |  |
| 3 | Authors list |  |

Part B. *Section 4 to 7 to be evaluated by the Committee. Applicant to provide documentary proof for each of the following to help in committee’s decision*

**4.** **Mode of presentation of paper**: Oral presentation (attach proof)

**5.**  **Core Conference ranking \***: (attach proof)

(\* Papers in A\*, A and B ranked conferences would be directly recommended)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 6 | **Paper Type \*1**  Full Paper = 3  Short paper  (at least 4 pages)= 2  Poster/Demo = 1  **Max Marks (3)** | **Event Sponsoring Body \*2**  Major body  (IEEE /ACM  /Springer  /Elsevier  / IFIP  /VSENIX) = 5  Others = 1  **Max Marks (5)** | | **Event Type \*3**  Focused Conference / Symposium =12  Focused Workshops = 6  Multi- Topic Conference / Symposium /  Workshop= 1  **Max Marks (12)** | | **Event Acceptance Rate \*4**    < 21% 20  21- < 25% 19  25- < 30% 18  30- < 40% 14  40 -< 50% 12  50- < 60% 05  60- < 70% 01  >70 00  **Max Marks (20)** | | **Event Maturity**  **Level \*5**  >15th time 08  10-14th time 06  5-9th time 04  <5 time 02  **Max Marks (08)** |
| 7 | **Referees’ Comments \*6**  **Max Marks (12)** | | **Referee 1 (6)**  Strong Accept = 6  Accept = 4  Weak Accept = 3  Boderline = 2  Weak Reject = 1  Reject = 0  Strong Reject = 0 | | **Referee 2 (6)**  Strong Accept = 6  Accept = 4  Weak Accept = 3  Boderline = 2  Weak Reject = 1  Reject = 0  Strong Reject = 0 | |  | |

Total Marks = 60

Marks Obtained\*7=\_\_

**(To be printed on Backside of page 1)**

\*1 **Paper Type:** It refers to paper acceptance/submission type

\*2 **Event sponsoring body:** It refers to the technical sponsorship, not the paper publication venue

\*3 **Event type:**  It refers to nature of the conference/ workshop/ symposium

\*4 **Event acceptance rate:** It refers to number of papers accepted in the submission track**.** To be obtained from conference organizers

\*5 **Event maturity level:** It refers to the maturity level of the conference itself with the existing name not the maturity of the organizing body. If conference name has changed since origin, please provide a proof, otherwise latest maturity with new name is to be considered for evaluation.

\*6 **Reviewers Comments:** It refers to technical comments received against the paper submission. Best of the two scores are to be used in evaluation. Incase of one reviewer comment it is used only.

\*7 **Marks obtained:** Committee decision is based on the proofs provided by the applicant, and hence is to be treated as final.

\*8 **Submission Date and Signature:** To be done after initial evaluation of documents by the coordinator

\***9 Expected Date of Degree Completion**: for MS and PhD students

\*8 Submission Date and Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\*9 Expected Date of Degree Completion: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Statement of Originality**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Paper Title |  |
| 2 | Conference |  |
| 3 | Authors list |  |
| 4 | First Author’s Email |  |

**Declaration**

The authors declare that the paper is based on their original work and no part of it is copied in substance or concept from any other source, except for citations and quotation that have been duly acknowledged. The authors also declare that the paper has not been previously or concurrently being submitted for another conference or journal publication.

The paper has been checked for Similarity Index using TURNITIN software and the Similarity Index of the paper is as follows

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Similarity Index | Internet Sources | Publications | Student papers |
|  |  |  |  |

which is within the HEC and NUST allowable limits of 19% similarity. Moreover, the authors guarantee that there is no single source from where more than 5% similarity has been reported.

**First Author**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Designation: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Supervisor/ concerned faculty**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Designation: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Recommendation by SHoD**

I have checked the similarity index of the paper independently and have verified the above-mentioned numbers.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Signature of SHoD**

**Recommendation by HoD Research**

I have checked that the signature of the faculty member and the respective SHoD has been provided on the plagiarism form.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Signature of HoD Research**

**Recommendation by Principal**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Signature of Principal**

**Undertaking (For Students only)**

I \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ enrolled in program \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ with registration number \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ undertake that I have apprised my advisor \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ about all the venues from where the conference funding is being applied.

Furthermore, I undertake that, in-case conference funding is approved from multiple avenues, I will only avail funding from one of them.

**Signature of Student**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Date**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Authorship Consent**

**(To be signed by all the Authors)**

**Paper Title** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Conference** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Authors list** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Author 1 – Signature  Date: | Author 2 – Signature  Date: |
| Author 3 – Signature  Date: | Author 4 – Signature  Date: |

\* Use separate sheet for more than 4 authors

**Evaluation Report**

# (To be filled by Evaluation Committee)

**Performa C= (**

**Comments:**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Decision:**

1. Reject Marks ≤ 35
2. Recommend for Funding Marks >35

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

## Dr. Syed Taha Ali Dr. Pakeeza Akram Dr. Hasan Arshad Nasir

## (Committee Member) (Committee Member) (Committee Member)

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

## Dr. Seemab Latif

**(President)**